







UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/759,735	01/16/2001	Fredy Scheifele	01-110	9751
7:	590 12/03/2002			
Gregory P. LaPointe			EXAMINER	
Suite 1201	LaPOINTE, P.C.		MOY, JOSEPH MAN	
900 Chapel Street New Haven, CT 06510-2802			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3727	
			DATE MAILED: 12/02/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/759,735	SCHEIFELE, FREDY			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Joseoh Moy	3727			
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum state - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply w - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repl nication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH ill, by statute, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. 4DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) file	d on 18 September 2002 .				
<u></u>	b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)☐ Since this application is in condition	·—	rs, prosecution as to the merits is 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the app	olication.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restricti	on and/or election requirement				
Application Papers	on and of clockon roquitomonic.				
9) The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	a) accepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any object	ction to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are requ	ired in reply to this Office action.				
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to b	by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	or foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority de	ocuments have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority de	ocuments have been received in App	lication No			
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of	the priority documents have been re- tional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ceived in this National Stage			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign lang 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paperson Notice (PTO-1449) Paperson N	D-948) 5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 8			

Serial Number: 09/759735

Art Unit: 3727

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maines in view of Valyi. Maines shows all the structures of the device as recited by the claims except the specific thickness of the partition. It would have been obvious to make the thickness of the partition of Maines greater than the sidewall of the container with any desired dimension as taught by Valyi in order to enhance the handling process.

The remarks filed 09/18/02 have been considered. However they are in moot in view of the new rejection with the new reference.

Any inquiry concerning this office action will be directed to Examiner Joseph Moy, (703) 308-1145. In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 3720 is encouraging faxing of responses in Office Actions directly into the group at (703) 305-3579 or (703) 305-3580. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by Applicants who authorize charges to a USPTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and the art unit at the top of your cover sheet.

If in receiving this Office Action it is apparent to applicant that certain documents are missing e.g. copies of references cited, from PTO-1449, form PTO-892., etc requests for copies of such papers should be directed to Errica Bembry at (703)306-4005.

Date: 11/29/2002

Primary Examiner